

ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET**SUBJECT:** (Optional)Investigative Standards Working Group
Meeting of 26 February 1981**FROM:**

SECOM

EXTENSION**NO.**

SECOM-D-040

DATE

6 March 1981

STAT

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)**DATE****OFFICER'S INITIALS****COMMENTS** (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)

STAT

1.

Chairman, SECOM

MAR 10 1981

MAR 10 1981

✕

2.

3.

4.

5.

DD/CA

10 March 81

RT

D/S
FYI

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

DIRECTOR OF CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE

Security Committee

INVESTIGATIVE STANDARDS WORKING GROUP

SECOM-D-040

6 March 1981

MEMORANDUM FOR: Chairman, Security Committee

FROM:

[REDACTED]

Chairman, Investigative Standards Working Group

STAT

SUBJECT:

Investigative Standards Working Group
Meeting of 26 February 1981

1. During the Working Group's review of DCID 1/14, a question arose concerning the status of Annex B (proposed Appeals Procedures). From the ensuing discussion, it became clear that the OSD Policy and Plans people are pushing hard for Army, Navy and Air Force to implement DoD Directive 5200.2R before Annex B is placed on the NFIB agenda. If they are successful, I suspect that OSD will then argue its standard position that "intolerable resource impact and administrative costs of changing directives" mitigate against implementation of Annex B. Accordingly, I recommend that efforts be accelerated to brief Annex B to the DCI and to carry the matter to the NFIB as soon as possible.

STAT

STAT

STAT

2. Another matter also surfaced during the same review of DCID 1/14. According to their Working Group representatives, Army, Navy and Air Force SCI authorities are using the "exception" clause in paragraph 6 of DCID 1/14 in such a way that literally thousands of cases are routinely approved each year by simply waiving DCID 1/14 standards. Further, after the investigation has been completed, little or no follow-up is accomplished to ascertain whether or not the subject who had been investigated actually met the standards. The problem has been intensified by the fact that the average length of time for a DIS investigation is now approaching 180 days. The service representatives contend that such practices are necessary to keep the number of "casuals" (i.e., personnel unable to work at their assigned jobs until given access to SCI), at an acceptably low level. While they may have a point, the consequences of such cavalier practices are to make a shambles of the DCID 1/14 standards.

STAT

[REDACTED]

SUBJECT: Investigative Standards Working
Group Meeting of 26 February 1981

Distribution:

Orig - Addressee
1 - ES/SECOM